CAPITAL PROJECT APPRAISAL FORM NEW BIDS 2014/15

CDC

1) Capital Scheme Name	Reducing ICT Server Infrastructure Microsoft Hyper - V	costs annually –
2) Service Head	Name: Jo Pitman	
	Directorate: Transformation	
3) Service Manager		
4) Portfolio Holder	Councillor Turner	
5) Driver (delete as appropri	ate) Highly Recommende	d
6) Estimated Total Capital C	Cost (see final Sheet)	£ 14,000
7) Potential Full-year reven	ue Cost/Saving)	

8) Detailed Outline / description of project

A clear and concise outline of the proposed project and how it is intended to be procured and managed.

Server virtualisation technology allows us to run multiple 'virtual' machines on one physical server. This approach cuts costs dramatically in comparison to using one physical server to carry out each function.

We currently utilise VMWare as our virtualisation platform, was the leading and only viable option for commerce and industry. However, as time and technology has progressed, Microsoft Hyper –V, which has been available for a several years has matured and is now a respected product. It has been accepted by both industry and commerce and is increasing its user base. We are able to purchase Hyper-V on our Microsoft Enterprise Agreement, which makes it significantly cheaper than VMWare. In an attempt to reduce the annual software licensing costs for ICT at Cherwell District Council, we propose a 'spend to save' initiative to migrate our IT server estate from our current virtualisation software environment, VMware to the more cost effective solution - Hyper-V.

As a first step towards moving to Hyper-V, ICT intends to migrate the 'least risk' test and development environments for our business applications at CDC across from the existing VMware solution and develop operating processes to support the new Hyper-V environment. This will allow us to develop skills and grow confidence in use of Hyper-V before migrating the live business applications.

Full costs savings will be achieved when all systems have been migrated to Hyper-V.; we will then be able to offload the annual cost of support and maintenance for VMWare, resulting in a saving of £19,000 each year. Over a 5-year lifetime, this results in a total license saving of £95,000, netting an overall saving of £95,000 - £14,000 = £81,000.

9) Corporate Priorities
Outline which corporate priorities the proposal will address.

This will address continual improvement of the internal systems to reduce long term costs. In this example we are targeting the on-going maintenance and licence renewals associated with VMware.
10) Service Objectives (Please select one)
1: Cherwell: A District of Opportunity
2: Cherwell: Safe, Clean, Green
3: Cherwell: Thriving Communities
∠ Cherwell: Sound Budgets and a Customer Focused Council
5: Other – consultation priority
11) Consultation Priority Rank (Please select one)
1: Refuse collection & recycling, housing (needs, strategic & private sector), anti-social behaviour
2: Economic development & regeneration
 3: Sports facilities, local, community & leisure development, safer communities, health promotion
4: Cleansing, local transport & concessionary fares, environmental protection, conservation & urban centres, arts, rural areas, car parking, estates
5: Building control & engineering, public protection, enforcement
☐ 6: Planning control, diversity & equality
7: Landscape, Banbury Museum, tourism, licensing
Corporate: Revenue & benefits, democratic services, chief executive office, member services, corporate charges, communications, treasury, improvement, community planning, elections, land charges
12) Implications of not undertaking the Project The implications to the Authority/Service of not undertaking the project e.g. failure to meet statutory responsibilities, reduction in service provision etc.
Continuing to pay high licencing costs by continual renewal of the VMware licences and support contracts on a yearly basis.

Will the scheme contribute to the Council's requirement to demonstrate that we are improving value for money in the services provided? Please give details.

Yes – long term reduced licencing costs, once sufficient experience has been gained with the product.
14) Identification and Assessment of Risk in undertaking the Project What risks have been considered and how would they be mitigated?
The main risk with this project is moving the various servers from a supported and understood platform called VMware to a new platform called Microsoft Hyper V.
To mitigate against any issue with using the new environment, accredited Microsoft training has been delivered to the team in Hyper V.
Hyper-V has been used successfully to create servers within a discrete test environment.
No 'live' environment servers will be created until all test and development servers have been tested and verified as being fit for purpose.
15) Other Authorities, Departments, Partnerships or Bodies involved Details of other bodies involved in the scheme and the form of their contribution e.g. financial, practical, advisory etc.
16) Estimate of Asset Life 5 Yrs
17) Category (please tick as appropriate) Enhancement of Existing Asset
New Asset ⊠

Will	Componentisation I the asset have 2 or more components which will have differing useful economic lives? To, please provide details of components, values and lives.
No	

19) NOTE - If this is a CDC ICT Project please also complete ANNEX 1 & ANNEX 2.

Capital Expenditure			201	4/15					
Description of Cost	Account Code	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	Total
Contractors	73910								0
Professional Fees	74910								0
Construction Services (internal)	74930								0
Equipment	75920								0
Other Capital Costs	76910								0
Initial Purchase of Vehicle or Plant	76920								0
IT - Software	76930								0
IT - Hardware	76940	14000							0
Grants	77940								0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
External Financing Description:-									
Capital grants and contributions (please specify)									0
Partnership Funding (please specify)									0
Other									0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total CDC Funding		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

.								
Canital li	nvestme	nt·						
<u>oapitai ii</u>	2013/14				2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	Total
	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4				
Cost Centre								
12423								0
								0
								0
								0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
on								
	1							
	12423	Quarter 1 12423 0	Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Cost Centre 12423 0 0 0	2013/14 Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Cost Centre 12423 0 0 0 0	2013/14 Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4 12423 0 0 0 0 0 0	2013/14 2014/15	2013/14 2014/15 2015/16 Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4 12423	2013/14 2015/16 2016/17 Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4

ANNEX 1 – CAPITAL

Budget Planner

Capital (One-off)		Primary	DR	Description/Comments
Hardware	Server Desktop/Client SAN/Disk Network Hardware Network Circuit	14000		Purchase of 2x servers to host Hyper V Cluster
Software	MS/Operating System Standard Package Application			
Manpower	Project Delivery Consultancy/Training			

"MS/Operating System" defines anything that will impact on the Microsoft Enterprise Agreement by either consuming existing licenses or requiring new products or licenses to be acquired which need to be added to the existing MSEA

"Standard Package" defines anything that is identified as a common industry package (middleware products, SSL licenses, etc.) These items are likely to already exist in CDC service catalogue and hence having co-terminus renewal dates might yield cost savings for CDC if renewing higher volumes at the same time. Please check with ICT to confirm if any items are Standard Packages

"Application" defines anything that is a unique application software package which probably occurs once in the CDC IS/IT landscape

Consider whether your project requires additional provisions to be made to provide Disaster Recovery capability in the event that the Primary solution is no longer available or cannot be accessed by Cherwell DC employees or agents. Please check with ICT for any extra requirements

ANNEX 2 - REVENUE

Budget Planner

0 250 consider whether egotiated out of		Ongoing server support for hardware support af Have 1st year Warranty and Support	fter 3 years has
		Have 1st year Warranty and Support	
		Have 1st year Warranty and Support	
		Have 1st year Warranty and Support	
		Have 1st year Warranty and Support	
		Have 1st year Warranty and Support	
gotiated out of			
		values been considered and negotiated	Yes/No
intenance and	Support	in/out of the prices of the goods or	
		services being requisitioned?	
cial year		Has Year 0 value been pro rata adjusted?	Yes/No
renewal dates	s should be	Has the Service catalogue been reviewed	<u> </u>
able volume dis	scounts to be	to see if there are existing Products	Yes/No
		or services with defined renewal dates?	
1	renewal date	cial year renewal dates should be able volume discounts to be	renewal dates should be Has the Service catalogue been reviewed to see if there are existing Products

Regardless of the final treatment of line items as Capital or Revenue, the recurring operational items should be identified here to allow ICT to properly manage and plan for the eventual revenue impact of mantenance oand support of new proiducts and services.